

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request further examination and allowance of the claims in view of the amendments provided above and the remarks set forth below. Claims 1, 6, 12, 15, 16 and 19 are amended herein. Claims 5, 9, 14, 17, 18, and 20 are cancelled herein. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-13, 15, 16, 19, and 21-44 remain pending. No new matter has been added as a result of these amendments.

The Rejection has indicated that Claims 5, 9, 14, 17, 20, 23, 26, 27, 30-32, and 40-44 are allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicants have amended Claims 1, 6, 12, 15 and 19 to include allowable material from Claims 5, 9, 14, 17, 20 respectively. Applicants submit that this puts the pending Claims in condition for allowance.

Claim Objections

Claims 5 and 18 were objected to due to informalities. Herein, Claims 5 and 18 have been cancelled. When the features of Claim 5 were incorporated into amended Claim 1, Applicants remedied the informality. In light of the cancellation of Claims 5 and 18, Applicants respectfully request that these claim objections be withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. §101 Rejections

Claims 15-17 were rejected because "...the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter." In the interest of expediting prosecution, Applicants have amended Claims 15 and 16 to recite "computer-readable medium" rather than "computer-useable

medium.” Claim 17 has been cancelled herein. In light of these actions, Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. §102(e) Rejections

Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 28, 29, 33, 34, and 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2007/0057781 by Breed. Claim 18 has been cancelled. As amended herein, independent Claims 1, 6, 12, 15 and 19 now include allowable material. Therefore, Applicants submit that these Claims are allowable over Breed.

Additionally, Applicants submit that Claims 2-4 which depend from Claim 1; Claims 7, 8, 10, and 11 which depend from Claim 6; Claim 13 which depends from Claim 12; Claim 16, which depends from Claim 15; and Claims 21-44 which depend from Claim 19 are also allowable as being dependent on allowable base claims. Hence a discussion of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) is moot at this time.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above listed remarks, Applicants respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the pending Claims.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER BLECHER LLP

Dated: 4/22/2008

/John P. Wagner, Jr./

John P. Wagner, Jr.
Registration No.: 35,398

WAGNER BLECHER LLP
Westridge Business Park
123 Westridge Drive
Watsonville, CA 95076

Phone: (408) 377-0500
Facsimile: (831) 722-2350